REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-55 are pending in this application. Claims 1-54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over U.S. patent 6,658,400 to Perell et al. (herein "Perell").

With respect to the above-noted rejection, applicants again note the rejection has not considered claim 55, which was added in a previous amendment. Applicants request that any subsequent Office Action fully consider claim 55.

Addressing now the rejection of claims 1-54 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over <u>Perell</u>, that rejection is traversed by the present response.

Applicants note that the present application is based on and claims priority to

Japanese Priority Documents 11-341288 filed on November 30, 1999 and 11-341289 filed on

November 30, 1999. Filed with the present response are those priority documents and

certified translations of those documents. By virtue of the submission of such priority

documents and the certified translations thereof, applicants respectfully submit that those

documents fully support the claimed subject matter and that thereby applicants are entitled to

and have perfected that priority date of November 30, 1999 for the present application.

As applicants are entitled to the priority date of November 30, 1999 for the present application, <u>Perell</u> is no longer a valid reference against the pending claims. More particularly, <u>Perell</u> has as an earliest effective filing date December 4, 1999 based on provisional application 60/169,040. Thus, even if that provisional application supports the disclosure in <u>Perell</u>, <u>Perell</u> does not have a valid filing date prior to applicants perfected priority date of November 30, 1999. Thus, <u>Perell</u> is not properly applicable against the pending claims.

Application No. 09/725,515
Reply to Office Action of February 4, 2005

Therefore, the only outstanding rejection in the present application is obviated by the present response.

As no other issues are pending in this application, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and it is hereby respectfully requested that this case be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) GJM/SNS:aif

I:\ATTY\SNS\200133US-AM.DOC

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Surinder Sachar Registration No. 34,423